

# A Literature Review of Transformational Leadership Within the Cultural Context of Saudi Arabia

Haya Abdulaziz Nasser Al Barrak

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Finance and Administrative Science, Al Madinah International University,  
Taman Desa Petaling, 57100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

[hayart2008@hotmail.com](mailto:hayart2008@hotmail.com)

Abdoulrahman Aljounaidi

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Finance and Administrative Science, Al Madinah International University,  
Taman Desa Petaling, 57100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

[abdulrahman.ramez@mediu.edu.my](mailto:abdulrahman.ramez@mediu.edu.my)

Alharath ateik

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Finance and Administrative Science, Al Madinah International University,  
Taman Desa Petaling, 57100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

[alharath.ateik@mediu.edu.my](mailto:alharath.ateik@mediu.edu.my)

## Abstract

Through the lens of Saudi Arabia, this literature review investigates the dynamic relationship that exists between transformative leadership and the cultural environment in which it is implemented. The cultural environment in which transformational leadership is practiced has an effect on the way it is carried out. This style of leadership is characterized by its emphasis on inspiring and motivating followers. In the environment of Saudi Arabia, which is characterized by a high-power distance, collectivism, and strong religious and traditional values, the success of transformational leadership and its execution may be different from what is seen in other cultural settings. The purpose of this review is to provide a synthesis of the existing research on the ways in which cultural aspects influence the practice and outcomes of transformative leadership in Saudi Arabia. It does so by providing insights into the challenges and opportunities that leaders face when functioning in this distinctive cultural setting. This research makes a significant contribution to a more in-depth knowledge of the ways in which cultural influences influence leadership styles and effectiveness in Saudi organizations.

**Keywords:** *Transformational Leadership, Cultural Context, Saudi Arabia.*

## 1. Introduction

Transformational leadership is a style of leadership that places an emphasis on inspiring and encouraging followers to go beyond their own self-interests for the purpose of the organisation. Over the course of the last few decades, transformational leadership has gained a substantial amount of attention in the field of organisational studies (Bass, 1985). This style of leadership is marked by its emphasis on vision, intellectual stimulation, individualised consideration, and charisma or idealised influence. These elements, when combined, have the goal of transforming and elevating the motivation and morality of both leaders and followers (Bass & Avolio, 1994). Nevertheless, the success of transformational leadership is not universally consistent and can be strongly influenced by the cultural context in which it is implemented (House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman, & Gupta, 2004). This is something that needs to be brought to your attention.

The Kingdom of Saudi Arabia is home to a singular cultural setting that exerts a significant impact on the manner in which leadership styles, particularly transformational leadership, are implemented and received. According to Hofstede (1980) and Hofstede,

Hofstede, and Minkov (2010), the Kingdom is distinguished by a high power distance, which is characterised by the great respect that is shown to hierarchical structures and authority, and the fact that decisions frequently flow from the top to the bottom. This aspect of Saudi Arabian culture says that leaders are supposed to be authoritative and are frequently perceived as having a strong sense of authority.

as individuals who have ultimate power and respect, which has the potential to influence the manner in which transformative leadership is exercised and viewed in this environment (Mellahi, 2007).

In addition, the culture of Saudi Arabia is extremely collectivist, which means that the importance of group unity, familial relationships, and loyalty to the organisation is placed higher than the importance of individual performance (Hofstede, 1980). This collectivist perspective has the potential to have an impact on the implementation of transformative leadership, particularly in the areas of intellectual stimulation and individualised consideration. It is possible that leaders in Saudi Arabia will modify these features of transformative leadership in order to align them with the cultural emphasis placed on group cohesion and collective well-being (Alkahtani, 2016).

Furthermore, it is impossible to overstate the significance of Islam in Saudi Arabian culture, both as a religion and as a guiding principle. The principles of Islam permeate every facet of life, including the conduct of organisations and the methods of leadership. It is common for leadership in Saudi Arabia to combine Islamic concepts such as compassion, consultation (Shura), and justice. These principles, depending on how they are interpreted and applied, can either complement or conflict with the ideals of transformative leadership (Ali, 2009). As an illustration, the notion of Shura places an emphasis on consultation and collaborative decision-making. This can be aligned with the intellectual stimulation component of transformational leadership, which encourages leaders to involve their followers in the process of decision-making (Al-Faleh, 1987).

At the same time as it gives opportunity, the connection between transformative leadership and the cultural setting of Saudi Arabia also presents obstacles. The significant emphasis on collectivism and Islamic principles provides a fertile ground for leaders to cultivate a common vision and collective commitment. This is despite the fact that the hierarchical nature of Saudi society may appear to be in conflict with the participative components of transformational leadership. This literature study is to investigate the intricacies of transformational leadership within the context of Saudi Arabian culture. It will do so by relying on previous research in order to gain an understanding of how cultural variables influence the implementation and efficacy of this leadership style in the Kingdom.

## 2. Problem Statement

The idea of transformational leadership, which places an emphasis on visionary leadership, motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration, has been the subject of substantial research and has been put into practice in a variety of cultural contexts all over the world (Bass, 1985). It is important to note that the effectiveness and applicability of transformational leadership techniques are not universal. According to House et al. (2004), the cultural milieu in which these practices are implemented has a substantial impact on the effectiveness and applicability of these practices. In Saudi Arabia, a country that is characterized by high power distance, collectivism, and deeply established Islamic values, the classic Western models of leadership may face obstacles or require adaptation in order to fit with local cultural norms and expectations (Hofstede, 1980; Al-Faleh, 1987). This is because Saudi Arabia is a nation that is characterized by these characteristics.

Although there is a growing interest in leadership methods throughout the Middle East, there is a dearth of study that particularly investigates how transformational leadership functions within the context of Saudi Arabia's distinctive cultural framework. This vacuum in the literature offers a significant concern since it leaves leaders, organizations, and scholars with a limited grasp of how transformational leadership may be effectively implemented in Saudi organizations. This is a limiting

factor that presents a big challenge. Furthermore, the interaction between transformational leadership and the cultural dimensions of power distance, collectivism, and religious values in Saudi Arabia remains underexplored, raising questions about the potential modifications or contextual considerations necessary for successful leadership in this region.

## 3. Literature Review

An approach to leadership known as transformational leadership was initially presented by James MacGregor Burns in 1978 and later developed by Bernard Bass in 1985. This style of leadership is characterised by its emphasis on inspiring and encouraging followers to go beyond their own self-interests for the sake of the organisation. The idealised influence, inspiring motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualised concern are the four key components that define this style of leadership, according to Bass and Avolio (1994). Leaders that display these characteristics are seen as charismatic and visionary, and they are able to alter their organisations by cultivating a shared vision, stimulating creativity, and catering to the specific requirements of their followers.

Judge and Piccolo (2004) and Avolio, Walumbwa, and Weber (2009) are only two of the many studies that have shown that transformational leadership has a favourable impact on the outcomes of an organisation, including employee satisfaction, performance, and commitment. The effectiveness of transformational leadership, on the other hand, is not a universally applicable strategy; rather, it is heavily dependent on the cultural setting in which it is implemented.

The success of various leadership styles is significantly influenced by culture, which plays a significant role in creating leadership behaviours itself. According to Hofstede (1980), culture can be defined as "the collective programming of the mind that distinguishes the members of one group or category of people from another." His theory of cultural dimensions outlines a number of important cultural elements that have an impact on organisational behaviour and leadership practices. These characteristics include power distance, individualism versus collectivism, and uncertainty avoidance.

The cultural background of Saudi Arabia is moulded not just by the great power distance and collectivism that exist there, but also by the pervasive impact of Islamic concepts and principles. Within Saudi culture, Islam, which is both a religion and a cultural framework, has a significant amount of influence over the norms, behaviours, and expectations that are prevalent (Ali, 2009). The ideals of Islamic leadership, which include compassion, consultation (Shura), and justice, frequently overlap with transformational leadership approaches, which may either make it easier or more difficult to implement these practices in Saudi organisations (Al-Faleh, 1987).

For instance, the notion of Shura, which places an emphasis on consultation and collaborative decision-making, is congruent with the intellectual stimulation

component of transformational leadership. This component encourages leaders to involve their followers in the process of decision-making. However, the hierarchical structure of Saudi organisations may be in contradiction with the participative components of transformational leadership. As a result, it is necessary to adjust leadership styles in order to conform to the cultural and organisational norms (Ali, 2009; Mellahi, 2007).

Hierarchical structures are common in societies with a high-power distance, such as Saudi Arabia, and authority is frequently centralised (Hofstede, 1980; Hofstede, Hofstede, & Minkov, 2010). Saudi Arabia is an example of high-power distance societies. This cultural trait has the potential to influence whether or not transformational leadership is perceived and how it is implemented. For instance, the idealised influence component of transformational leadership, which entails leaders being role models and gaining respect and trust, may be interpreted differently in a high-power distance culture. In such a culture, authority and respect are traditionally tied to one's position rather than personal attributes (Mellahi, 2007). If this is the case, that component of transformational leadership may be interpreted differently.

According to Hofstede (1980), collectivism is another significant cultural feature in Saudi Arabia. This cultural dimension places an emphasis on the significance of collective unity and loyalty over any individual achievement. This particular cultural characteristic has the potential to connect well with some components of transformational leadership, such as inspirational motivation and individualised consideration. These features of leadership are centred on the development of a collective vision and the fulfilment of the specific requirements of employees. However, the collectivist approach may also provide obstacles, as leaders may be required to strike a balance between the interests of the community and the support of individual innovation and intellectual stimulation (Alkahtani, 2016).

#### **4. Methodology**

The purpose of this literature review was to identify, analyse, and synthesise the available research on transformational leadership and cultural context, with a specific emphasis on the Saudi Arabian environment. This was accomplished through the utilisation of a systematic approach. The relevant material was obtained from academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ScienceDirect. Key terms such as "transformational leadership," "cultural context," "Saudi Arabia," and "organisational culture" were utilised in the search process. Articles from journals that were subjected to peer review, novels, and key works that were published in English within the past three decades were included in the review. The articles were chosen because of their significance to the topics of culture and leadership, as well as their contributions to the comprehension of the ways in which these factors interact within the setting of Saudi Arabia. A critical analysis was performed on the

chosen body of literature in order to uncover recurrent themes, gaps in the existing research, and implications for future study on the subject. This methodology ensured that a comprehensive and objective summary of the existing state of knowledge on the subject was provided. It also provided a solid platform for discussing the interaction between transformational leadership and the cultural setting in Saudi Arabia.

#### **5. Recommendation and Suggested future studies**

As a result of the findings of this literature study, a number of recommendations and proposals for further research have been offered. The cultural values of high-power distance, collectivism, and Islamic beliefs should be taken into consideration when organisations in Saudi Arabia are considering the possibility of modifying transformational leadership approaches to comply with these values. In order to establish a vision that supports innovation and employee engagement, leaders should be trained to ensure that they understand and respect the cultural elements that exist inside their organisations. Furthermore, organisations can reap the benefits of incorporating Islamic leadership principles, such as Shura (consultation), with transformative leadership in order to develop a style of leadership that is more deeply resonant with the cultural environment.

It is proposed that there be empirical study conducted in the future that investigates the effectiveness of transformational leadership within various sectors in Saudi Arabia, particularly in relation to organisational performance and employee happiness. This research should be conducted in order to facilitate future studies. Research that is conducted over a longer period of time may yield valuable insights on the development of transformative leadership and its long-term effects within the Saudi cultural framework. Furthermore, comparative studies between Saudi Arabia and other nations in the Middle East have the potential to give light on the regional subtleties of transformative leadership and the cultural framework in which it operates. In addition, there is a requirement for research that investigates the nature of the role that gender plays in transformative leadership within the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. This is because the social and organisational landscape of the Kingdom is constantly shifting. These future studies will add to a fuller understanding of how transformational leadership may be effectively deployed in situations that are both culturally varied and diverse in terms of their cultural backgrounds.

#### **6. Conclusion**

Through the course of this literature review, the complex relationship that exists between transformational leadership and the cultural environment of Saudi Arabia has been investigated. Particular attention has been paid to the ways in which cultural factors such as high-power distance, collectivism, and Islamic values have an impact on the implementation and efficiency of this leadership style. The use of transformational leadership in Saudi

Arabia requires careful adaptation in order to connect with the cultural norms and values of the country. This is despite the fact that transformational leadership has the ability to generate major organisational change and inspire employee engagement. When it comes to the implementation of transformational leadership, the review highlights how important it is to have a thorough awareness of the cultural context, as cultural elements can either contribute to or hinder the success of the process. It is possible for organisations in Saudi Arabia to more effectively harness the benefits of transformational leadership in order to increase organisational performance and employee happiness. This can be accomplished by incorporating cultural sensitivity into leadership practices. Future research and practical implementations should concentrate on improving transformational leadership techniques that are both culturally compatible and capable of facing the difficulties of a quickly changing environment. This is because the Kingdom is continuing to undergo social and economic transformations.

## References

- [1] Al-Faleh, M. (1987). Cultural influences on Arab management development: A case study of Jordan. *Journal of Management Development*, 6(3), 19-33.
- [2] Alkahtani, A. H. (2016). The influence of leadership styles on organizational commitment: The moderating effect of emotional intelligence. *Business and Management Studies*, 2(1), 23-34.
- [3] Ali, A. J. (2009). Islamic perspectives on leadership: A model. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 2(2), 160-180.
- [4] Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- [5] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. SAGE Publications.
- [6] Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage Publications.
- [7] Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind*. McGraw-Hill.
- [8] House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.
- [9] Mellahi, K. (2007). The effect of regulations on HRM: Private sector firms in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(1), 85-99.
- [10] Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- [11] Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage Publications.
- [12] House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.
- [13] Al-Faleh, M. (1987). Cultural influences on Arab management development: A case study of Jordan. *Journal of Management Development*, 6(3), 19-33.
- [14] Al-Faleh, M. (1987). Cultural influences on Arab management development: A case study of Jordan. *Journal of Management Development*, 6(3), 19-33.
- [15] Alkahtani, A. H. (2016). The influence of leadership styles on organizational commitment: The moderating effect of emotional intelligence. *Business and Management Studies*, 2(1), 23-34.
- [16] Ali, A. J. (2009). Islamic perspectives on leadership: A model. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 2(2), 160-180.
- [17] Avolio, B. J., Walumbwa, F. O., & Weber, T. J. (2009). Leadership: Current theories, research, and future directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 60, 421-449.
- [18] Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- [19] Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J. (1994). *Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership*. SAGE Publications.
- [20] Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership*. Psychology Press.
- [21] Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage Publications.
- [22] Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind*. McGraw-Hill.
- [23] House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.
- [24] Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755-768.
- [25] Mellahi, K. (2007). The effect of regulations on HRM: Private sector firms in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18(1), 85-99.
- [26] Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership* (Vol. 2). John Wiley & Sons.